## **WIRRAL COUNCIL**

## **CABINET**

#### 1 SEPTEMBER 2011

SUBJECT:	ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY - TENDER
	OUTCOME
WARD/S AFFECTED:	ALL
REPORT OF:	HOWARD COOPER - INTERIM DIRECTOR
	OF ADULT SOCIAL SERVICES
RESPONSIBLE PORTFOLIO	COUNCILLOR ANNE MCARDLE
HOLDER:	
KEY DECISION?	YES

## 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report outlines the recent tendering exercise for the provision of an Assistive Technology assessment service and Telecare installation, monitoring, maintenance and response service. Based on the outcomes of this tendering exercise, the report makes a recommendation for the provider of this service and seeks approval from Cabinet to proceed to implementing the contract.
- 1.2 Assistive Technology, which includes Telecare, frequently uses simple and discreet technology to help individuals live safely and independently in their own home, whilst also providing carer support. An individual's needs are assessed, resulting in a personalised support package, consisting of tailored equipment and a detailed plan of what action to take should an alert be triggered. Assistance is available to individuals 24 hours a day, 365 days a year via connection to a monitoring/response centre.
- 1.3 As of July 2011, there are over 3,800 people in Wirral in being supported by this service with this figure projected to rise to over 4,000 by October 2011 and over 4,600 by April 2012. The vast majority of these individuals are older people.
- 1.4 Wirral Assistive Technology service provision is via a contract with Seniorlink Eldercare. The contract started in April 2008 and is due to continue until October 2011. The contract has been significantly varied since 2008 to reflect continued development of this innovative service. Due to such developments, the advice from the Council's Legal Services is that these variations are so significant in terms of scope and value of resultant activity that it is necessary to re-tender the service.
- 1.5 Details of the evaluation for the submitted tenders, including identification of the provider which evaluated highest overall is contained within exempt Appendix 1.

- 1.6 The budget for this service for 2011/2012 is £1,530,300 (including costs of equipment). This includes £174,000 from NHS Wirral (£84,500 yet to be confirmed from October 2011).
- 1.7 The existing costs for the elements of the service of assessment, installation, maintenance of equipment, review, monitoring and response (excluding cost of equipment), have been compared to the proposed costs provided within this procurement exercise, and in almost all aspects there has been a notable reduction. Overall, the costs for the new contract can be said to represent significantly improved value for money compared to current costs for the same levels of activity. However, it should be noted that the original contract was awarded in 2008 following a tendering exercise, when it was identified as the most economically advantageous at that time. The current tariff reflects the growth of this market and the increase in competition.
- 1.8 The new specification allows for all key aspects of the service to be contained if necessary and will provide an effective mechanism for controlling expenditure. The specification and tender is on a cost/volume basis rather than agreed levels of activity. The contract and payments will be based on actual activity delivered at a fixed cost rather than having a pre-agreed total contract value (although an upper limit will be specified).
- 1.9 The tender is for 12 months from 1 October 2011 with an option to extend for a further 12 months. This timeline combined with the approach outlined in 1.8 above will support continued developments towards personal budgets.

## 2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 Cabinet is recommended to approve the provider identified for the provision of an Assistive Technology assessment service and Telecare installation, monitoring, maintenance and response service resulting from a tender process conducted under the OJEU (Official Journal of European Union) open procedure as detailed in exempt Appendix 1.

#### 3.0 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION/S

3.1 An open procurement process has been used to identify a provider that performed best against the agreed evaluation criteria and therefore the most economically advantageous.

## 4.0 BACKGROUND AND KEY ISSUES

4.1 Tender documents were issued on 19 May and followed a single stage OJEU open procedure.

- 4.2 Evaluation was 60% price and 40% quality. Detailed method statements were prepared to assist the evaluation of quality. An evaluation panel was established to score the quality elements of the tender. This panel included the Assistive Technology Strategic Development Manager, a GP representative of one of the local Clinical Commissioning Groups, a specialist adviser, Principal Finance Manager, a service user and a representative of the local voluntary, community and faith sector. A representative of the Older People's Parliament was initially identified but due to personal circumstances withdrew from the panel.
- 4.3 Three tenders were received by the deadline, all of which met minimum requirements and were subsequently evaluated for quality and price.

#### 5.0 RELEVANT RISKS

- 5.1 As specified in 1.4, advice from the Council's Legal Services is that due to significant variation from the original contract awarded in 2008 that it is necessary to re-tender under a revised contract.
- 5.2 All providers addressed transition and handover issues in their tender which have been fully considered as part of the tender evaluation process.

#### 6.0 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

6.1 As highlighted in 5.1 no other options for continued provision of this service were viable.

## 7.0 CONSULTATION

7.1 Assistive Technology has been discussed widely at a local and national level and still features heavily in policy direction particularly about the shift toward prevention. Locally, Assistive Technology has been discussed at Health & Wellbeing events and has the support of the Older People's Parliament. Assistive Technology also featured in the Wirral: *be part of it* consultation. Again there was support for the service, although there was a recommendation to explore the possibility of introducing nominal charging. This is currently being researched with findings and options to be presented in the autumn of 2011.

## 8.0 IMPLICATIONS FOR VOLUNTARY, COMMUNITY AND FAITH GROUPS

8.1 This has been an open procurement process. Due to the nature of the service it is not possible to disaggregate it to provide opportunities for local voluntary, community and faith organisations. Otherwise no implications have been identified. A representative from the local voluntary, community and faith sector was involved in the evaluation panel.

## 9.0 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS: FINANCIAL; IT; STAFFING; AND ASSETS

- 9.1 Care Services Efficiency Delivery Unit (CSED) of the Department of Health was engaged to conduct a financial evaluation of Telecare in Wirral. Estimating avoided costs on the basis of diagnosis at assessment and assumed prevention of more substantial care needs. This gave a Return on Investment (albeit presently non-cashable), of £2.18 for every £1 spent across the health and social care economy.
- 9.2 The specification and tender is not for agreed levels of activity and is on a cost/volume basis. Payment is based on actual activity. The specification allows for all key aspects of the service to be contained if necessary.
- 9.3 There are no staffing, IT or asset issues for Wirral Council.

## 10.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

10.1 None identified.

## 11.0 EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

- 11.1 At present this is a universal service for which no equality issues have been identified.
- 11.2 Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)

(a) Is an EIA required?

No

## 12.0 CARBON REDUCTION IMPLICATIONS

12.1 None identified.

#### 13.0 PLANNING AND COMMUNITY SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

13.1 None identified.

REPORT AUTHOR: Peter Wong

**Assistive Technology Strategic Development Manager** 

telephone: (0151) 666 4922 email: <a href="mailto:peterwong@wirral.gov.uk">peterwong@wirral.gov.uk</a>

#### **APPENDICES**

None.

## **EXEMPT APPENDICES:**

Appendix 1: Tender Evaluation Summary.

# **REFERENCE MATERIAL**

N/A

# **SUBJECT HISTORY (last 3 years)**

Council Meeting	Date
Cabinet	13/01/11
Cabinet	18/03/10