Contract Title: Highways Services - A1.1 SURFACE D						
Contract Quality Ratio:	40	Quality Threshold:				
Social Value Ratio:	10					
Contract Price Ratio:	50	Highest Quality Score:	4.00	Lowest Tende	391,929.89	
		KIELY BROS	S LTD		BIDDER 2	
	Weight				Weighted	
Criteria	%	Score	Weighted score	Sco	re	score
Quality Scores						
MS 1 – Operational, quality, methodology	20.00	4	0.80	2		0.40
MS 2 – Health Safety and Environment	20.00	4	0.80	2		0.40
MS 3 – Service Management	25.00	4	1.00	2	0.50	
MS 4 – Supply Chain Management	15.00	4	0.60	2.5	0.38	
MS 5 – Public engagement	20.00	4	0.80	2	0.40	
Totals	100.00		4.00		2.08	
Is overall quality threshold reached?			yes			yes
Quality points (as a % of highest score)			100.00		51.88	
Social Value Scores						
Social value (Score from SVP normalised as score out of 100)	10	80	8.00	10.1	1.02	
Price Scores						
Total Tender Price (£)		£391,929	.89		£0	
Price points (lowest/actual*100)		100.00				
Quality weighting x quality score		100.00 0.40	40.00	51.88	0.40	20.75
Social value weighting x social value score		80.00 0.10	8.00	10.15	0.10	1.02
Price weighting x price score		100.00 0.50	50.00	0.00	0.50	0.00
Overall Score			98.00			21.77
Order of Tenders (Descending)		1				

^{*}BIDDER 2 NOT EVALUATED

Contract Title: Highways Services - A1.2 MICRO ASPH	ALT PRO	CESSES																	
Contract Quality Ratio:	40	Quality Threshold:																	
Social Value Ratio:	10																		
Contract Price Ratio:	50	Highest Quality Score :	4.00	Low	est Tender Price	(£): 374,488.34													
		BIDDER 2	2	KIELY E	ROS LTD		BIDDER 3												
	Weight	Weig			Weighted			Weighted											
Criteria	%	Score	score	Score	score	S	Score	score											
Quality Scores																			
MS 1 – Operational, quality, methodology	20.00	4	0.80	4	0.80		2	0.40											
MS 2 – Health Safety and Environment	20.00	3.5	0.70	4	0.80		2	0.40											
MS 3 – Service Management	25.00	4	1.00	4	1.00		2	0.50											
MS 4 – Supply Chain Management	15.00	4	0.60	4	0.60	2.5		0.38											
MS 5 – Public engagement	- Public engagement 20.00		0.80	4	0.80	2		0.40											
Totals	Totals 100.00		3.90		4.00	<u> </u>		2.08											
Is overall quality threshold reached?		yes			yes		yes												
Quality points (as a % of highest score)				100.00		51.88													
Social Value Scores																			
Social value (Score from SVP normalised as score out of 100)	10	95	9.50	32.58	3.26	1	10.01	1.00											
Price Scores																			
Total Tender Price (£)		£422,902.2	7	£374	,488.34		£0												
Price points (lowest/actual*100)		88.55		10	0.00		0.00												
Quality weighting x quality score		97.50 0.40	39.00	100.00 0.4	40.00	51.88	0.40	20.75											
Social value weighting x social value score	95.00 0.10	9.50	32.58 0.		10.01	0.10	1.00												
Price weighting x price score		88.55 0.50	44.28	100.00 0.9	50 50.00	0.00	0.50	0.00											
Overall Score			92.78		93.26			21.75											
Order of Tenders (Descending)		2			1														

^{*}BIDDER 3 NOT EVALUATED

Contract Title: Highways Services - A1.3 SLURRY SEA	LING PR	OCESSES	i											
Contract Quality Ratio:	40	Quality Th	reshold:											
Social Value Ratio:	10													
Contract Price Ratio:	50	Highest Q	uality Score:	4.43		Lowest T	ender Price	£):	270,139.63					
			BIDDER 2		JPCS LTD				BIDDER 3	3		₹ 4		
	Weight			Weighted			Weighted		Weighted				Weighted	
Criteria	%	S	core	score	Sc	ore	score	So	ore	score	Scor	e	score	
Quality Scores														
MS 1 – Operational, quality, methodology	20.00		4	0.80	4	.5	0.90		4	0.80	2		0.40	
MS 2 – Health Safety and Environment	20.00		3.5	0.70	4	.5	0.90		4	0.80	2		0.40	
MS 3 – Service Management	25.00		4	1.00	4	.5	1.13		4	1.00	2		0.50	
MS 4 – Supply Chain Management	15.00		4			4	0.60		4	0.60	2.5		0.38	
MS 5 – Public engagement	20.00		4	0.80	4	.5	0.90				2		0.40	
Totals	100.00			3.90			4.43			3.20			2.08	
Is overall quality threshold reached?				yes			yes			yes			yes	
Quality points (as a % of highest score)				88.14		100.00		72		72.32			46.89	
Social Value Scores														
Social value (Score from SVP normalised as score out of 100)	10		95	9.50	44	.53	4.45	40).92	4.09	10.0	3	1.00	
Price Scores														
Total Tender Price (£)			£303,595.14			£270,139.	63		£292,183.2	.6		£0		
Price points (lowest/actual*100)			88.98			100.00			92.46					
Quality weighting x quality score		88.14	0.40	35.25	100.00	0.40	40.00	72.32	0.40	28.93	46.89	0.40	18.76	
Social value weighting x social value score		95.00	0.10	9.50	44.53	0.10	4.45	40.92	0.10	4.09	10.03	0.10	1.00	
Price weighting x price score		88.98	0.50	44.49	100.00	0.50	50.00	92.46	0.50	46.23	0.00	0.50	0.00	
Overall Score				89.24			94.45			79.25			19.76	
Order of Tenders (Descending)			2			1			3					

^{*}BIDDER 4 NOT EVALUATED

Contract Title: Highways Services - A1.4 CARRIAGEW	AY MICR	O ASPHAI	LT HAND APPL	IED PATCHI	NG										
Contract Quality Ratio:	40	Quality TI	hreshold:												
Social Value Ratio:	10														
Contract Price Ratio:	50	Highest C	Quality Score:	4.43		Lowest T	ender Price	e (£):							
			COLAS LTD			BIDDER 2			BIDDER 3	3		₹ 4			
	Weight		We			Weighted				Weighted			Weighted		
Criteria	%	;	Score	score	Sc	ore	score	So	ore	score	Scor	e	score		
Quality Scores															
MS 1 – Operational, quality, methodology	20.00		4	0.80	4	.5	0.90		4	0.80	2		0.40		
MS 2 – Health Safety and Environment	20.00		3.5	0.70	4	.5	0.90		4	0.80	2		0.40		
MS 3 – Service Management	25.00		4	1.00	4	.5	1.13		4	1.00	2		0.50		
MS 4 – Supply Chain Management	15.00		4	0.60		4	0.60		4		2.5		0.38		
MS 5 – Public engagement	20.00		4	0.80	4	.5	0.90		4	0.80	2		0.40		
Totals	100.00			3.90			4.43			4.00			2.08		
Is overall quality threshold reached?				yes			yes			yes			yes		
Quality points (as a % of highest score)				88.14			100.00			90.40			46.89		
Social Value Scores															
Social value (Score from SVP normalised as score out of 100)	10		95	9.50	54	.35	5.44	48	3.32	4.83	10.0	6	1.01		
Price Scores															
Total Tender Price (£)			£346,079.38			£0			£348,101.1	3		£0			
Price points (lowest/actual*100)			100.00						99.42						
Quality weighting x quality score		88.14	0.40	35.25	100.00	0.40	40.00	90.40	0.40	36.16	46.89	0.40	18.76		
Social value weighting x social value score			0.10	9.50	54.35	0.10	5.44	48.32	0.10	4.83	10.06	0.10	1.01		
Price weighting x price score		100.00	0.50	50.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	99.42	0.50	49.71	0.00	0.50	0.00		
Overall Score			·	94.75			45.44			90.70			19.76		
Order of Tenders (Descending)	, and the second		1						2						

^{*}BIDDER 2 AND BIDDER 4 NOT EVALUATED

Contract Title: Highways Services - A1.5 CARRIAGEW	AY AND	FOOTWAY	RETREAD PR	OCESS				
Contract Quality Ratio:	40	Quality T	hreshold:					
Social Value Ratio:	10							
Contract Price Ratio:	50	Highest 0	Quality Score:	4.00	Lowest Tend	286,183.06		
			Colas Ltd					
	Weight			Weighted			Weighted	
Criteria	%		Score	score	Sco	ore	score	
Quality Scores								
MS 1 – Operational, quality, methodology	20.00		4	0.80	4	4	0.80	
MS 2 – Health Safety and Environment	20.00		3.5	0.70	4	4	0.80	
MS 3 – Service Management	25.00		4	1.00	4	4	1.00	
MS 4 – Supply Chain Management	15.00		4	0.60	0.60 4			
MS 5 – Public engagement	20.00		4	0.80	4	4	0.80	
Totals	100.00			3.90			4.00	
Is overall quality threshold reached?				yes			yes	
Quality points (as a % of highest score)				97.50		100.00		
Social Value Scores								
Social value (Score from SVP normalised as score out of 100)	10		95	9.50	37.	.79	3.78	
Price Scores								
Total Tender Price (£)			£286,183.06			£478,188.20		
Price points (lowest/actual*100)			100.00			59.85		
Quality weighting x quality score		97.50	0.40	39.00	100.00	0.40	40.00	
Social value weighting x social value score	95.00	0.10	9.50	37.79	0.10	3.78		
Price weighting x price score		100.00	0.50	50.00	59.85	0.50	29.92	
Overall Score				98.50			73.70	
Order of Tenders (Descending)			1	·		2		

Contract Title: Highways Service	s - A2 CA	RRIAGEV	VAY STR	UCTURA	L RESUF	FACING	i												
Contract Quality Ratio:	40	Quality T	hreshold	l:															
Social Value Ratio:	10																		
Contract Price Ratio:	50	Highe	est Qualit	y Score:	4.23	Lowest	Tender Pr	rice (£):	3,577,76	5.93									
		Е	BIDDER 2			BIDDER 3			BIDDER 4			HANSON QUARRY			BIDDER 5			BIDDER 6	
	Weight	Sco	ore	Weighte			Weighte	Sc	Score		Sco	Score		Score		Weighte	Score		Weighte
Criteria	%			d score			d score			d score			d score			d score			d score
Quality Scores																			
MS 1 – Operational, quality, methodology	20.00	4	*	0.80			0.80		.5	0.70	4		0.80	3		0.60	4		0.80
MS 2 – Health Safety and Environment	20.00	3	1	0.60	3.	.5	0.70		3	0.60	4.	5	0.90	3	3	0.60	3.	5	0.70
MS 3 – Service Management	25.00	4		1.00	4 1.00		1.00	3	.5	0.88	4.5		1.13	2	2	0.50	4.	5	1.13
MS 4 – Supply Chain Management	15.00	4.	5	0.68	4 0.6		0.60	;	3	0.45	4	4 0.60		2		0.30	4		0.60
MS 5 – Public engagement	20.00	4		0.80		<u> </u>	0.80	3	.5	0.70	4		0.80	2	2	0.40	4.	5	0.90
Totals	100.00			3.88			3.90			3.33			4.23			2.40			4.13
Is overall quality threshold reached?				yes			yes			yes			yes			yes			yes
Quality points (as a % of highest score)				91.72			92.31			78.70			100.00		56.80				97.63
Social Value Scores																			
Social value	10	43.	98	4.40	9	5	9.50	55	5.5	5.55	45.	27	4.53	36.	.85	3.69	42.	42	4.24
Price Scores																			
Total Tender Price (£)		£4	,247,102.	98	£4,071,081.77			£3	,949,274	.10	£3	,577,765.	.93	£8	,696,719.	.76		£0	
Price points (lowest/actual*100)			84.24			87.88			90.59			100.00			41.14				
Quality weighting x quality score		91.72	0.40	36.69	92.31	0.40	36.92	78.70	0.40	31.48	100.00	0.40	40.00	56.80	0.40	22.72	97.63	0.40	39.05
Social value weighting x social value score		43.98 0.10		4.40	95.00	0.10	9.50	55.50	0.10	5.55	45.27	0.10	4.53	36.85	0.10	3.69	42.42	0.10	4.24
Price weighting x price score		84.24	0.50	42.12	87.88	0.50	43.94	90.59	0.50	45.30	100.00	0.50	50.00	41.14	0.50	20.57	0.00	0.50	0.00
Overall Score		83.20				90.36			82.33			94.53			46.98			43.30	
Order of Tenders (Descending)			3			2			4 1 5						5				

^{*}BIDDER 6 NOT EVALUATED

Contract Title: Highways Services -	A3 CIVIL AND	HIGHW	AY ENG	INEERIN	G												
Contract Quality Ratio:		Quality															
Social Value Ratio:	10																
Contract Price Ratio:	50	Highe	st Quali	ity Score:	4.43		Lowest T										
			BIDDER 2			BIDDER 3			CAMBRIANWAY LTD			BIDDER 4			BIDDER 5		
Criteria	Majaht 0/	Sco	Score Weighted		Sco	ore	Weighted	Score		Weighted	Score		Weighted	Sc	ore	Weighted	
Quality Scores	Weight %			score			score			score			score			score	
MS 1 – Operational, quality, methodology	20.00	4	1	0.80	2.	E	0.50	1	.5	0.90	4	1	0.80	3	E	0.70	
MS 2 – Health Safety and Environment	20.00	3		0.60	3		0.60	4		0.90	3.		0.70		. <u>5</u> }	0.70	
MS 3 – Service Management	25.00	3		0.60	3		0.00			1.13	_	. <u>5</u> 1	1.00	3		0.88	
MS 4 – Supply Chain Management	15.00	3		0.75	3		0.75	4.5		0.60			0.60	3		0.45	
MS 5 – Public engagement	20.00	3		0.43	2		0.40	4.5		0.90	4		0.80	3		0.43	
Totals	100.00	•	3				2.70	4.5		4.43	-		3.90	3		3.33	
Is overall quality threshold reached?				yes			yes			yes			yes			yes	
Quality points (as a % of highest score)				72.32			61.02			100.00			88.14			75.14	
Social Value Scores		•					•			•			•	•			
Social value	10	37.	36	3.74	75.	.61	7.56	9	0	9.00	79.	.07	7.91	48	.57	4.86	
Price Scores																	
Total Tender Price (£)		£2	,639,00	7.10	£2	,265,96	1.80	£2	2,800,90	4.87	£3	3,687,97	5.84		£0		
Price points (lowest/actual*100)			85.86			100.00)		80.90			61.44	ı				
Quality weighting x quality score		72.32	0.40	28.93	61.02	0.40	24.41	100.00	0.40	40.00	88.14	0.40	35.25	75.14	0.40	30.06	
Social value weighting x social value score		37.36	0.10	3.74	75.61	0.10	7.56	90.00	0.10	9.00	79.07	0.10	7.91	48.57	0.10	4.86	
Price weighting x price score		85.86	0.50	42.93	100.00	0.50	50.00	80.90	0.50	40.45	61.44	0.50	30.72	0.00	0.50	0.00	
Overall Score				75.59			81.97			89.45			73.88			34.91	
Order of Tenders (Descending)			3			2			1			4					

^{*}BIDDER 5 NOT EVALUATED