



**WIRRAL METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK FOR WIRRAL**

**SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT**

**PARKING STANDARDS**

**REPORT OF CONSULTATION**

**CABINET DRAFT**

**JUNE 2007**

**[blank for copying]**

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1 This document sets out details of the statutory consultation undertaken by the Council under Regulation 17(1) of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) Regulations 2004 on a Draft Supplementary Planning Document – Parking Standards and on its accompanying Sustainability Appraisal Report.
- 1.2 This Report of Consultation will be made available for inspection alongside the adopted Supplementary Planning Document and the final Sustainability Appraisal Report.

## **2 THE CONSULTATION PROCESS**

- 2.1 Consultation on the content of the Draft Supplementary Planning Document and Sustainability Appraisal Report took place for a six-week period between 22 February and 5 April 2007.
- 2.2 Copies of the Draft Supplementary Planning Document, the Sustainability Appraisal Report and the Report of Initial Consultation were sent to statutory consultees and to respondents to the initial consultation undertaken during September to December 2006<sup>1</sup>. Letters of notification were sent to the remaining contacts from the Council's Local Development Framework Contacts Database. Comments were invited on the Draft SPD and the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal Report.
- 2.3 Lists of the people and organisations consulted and notified are provided as Appendices to this Report of Consultation.
- 2.2 Copies of the Consultation Letter and the Draft SPD documents were placed on the Council's website and can be viewed at <http://www.wirral.gov.uk/ldf/ldfspcp.asp>. Paper copies of the documents were also made available at the public counter of the Technical Services Department offices and 24 local libraries throughout the Borough. Comments could also be submitted on-line through the Council's internet based consultation system, ECONET.
- 2.3 Public notices were published in the Liverpool Echo, Wirral Globe and Wirral News for two consecutive weeks during the weeks of 21 February 2007 and 28 February 2007.

## **3 SUMMARY OF RESPONSES.**

- 3.1 The consultation drew 18 responses on the Draft SPD and 4 responses on the Sustainability Appraisal Report.
- 3.2 A summary of the comments received and the response of the Local Planning Authority are contained in the following schedules.

---

<sup>1</sup> Initial consultation on the content of the proposed SPD was undertaken between 1 September 2006 and 10 November 2006 and on the scope of the Sustainability Appraisal Report between 18 October and 1 December 2006.

#### 4 SCHEDULE OF COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT – PARKING STANDARDS

No <sup>2</sup> .	Organisation/individual	Consultation Response	Directors Comments
001	Mr D McKaigue	<p>Draft SPD is impressive.</p> <p>Use of sloping bays incorporating a one way system is preferred - less space is needed, the chance of collision reduced and vacant bays could be seen well in advance.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>This would have to be considered at the application stage when site specific factors can be taken into account.</p> <p>To clarify and take account of new national guidance, in the Manual for Streets (DfT, March 2007), it is recommended that Section 3 of the final SPD is revised in the following ways:</p> <p>That Paragraph 3.1 is amended to read:</p> <p>“...The specifications for presenting landscaping schemes are set out in Supplementary Planning Guidance Note 16 – Landscaping and New Development. When developers are preparing their proposals they will be expected to have regard to the national design guide Manual for Streets (DfT, 2007), which can be viewed at <a href="http://www.dft.gov.uk/pgr/sustainable/manforstreets/pdfmanforstreets.pdf">http://www.dft.gov.uk/pgr/sustainable/manforstreets/pdfmanforstreets.pdf</a>”</p> <p>That A new paragraph 3.3 is inserted to read:</p> <p>“Parking bays can be laid out in a perpendicular, parallel or echelon (angled) format next to the access way or road, as shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 below<sup>3</sup>. The best solution for</p>

<sup>2</sup> Respondent Number

<sup>3</sup> Figure 1 and Figure 2 are reproduced from Manual for Streets (DfT, 2007).

No <sup>2</sup> .	Organisation/individual	Consultation Response	Directors Comments
			<p>achieving the most effective parking layout will depend on site related factors.”</p> <p>That Figure 1 – Parallel and Perpendicular Parking Arrangements and Figure 2 – Echelon Parking Arrangements, are inserted, reproduced from the Manual for Streets.</p> <p>That paragraph 3.4 is amended to read:</p> <p>“The dimensions for car parking spaces are set out in the reasoned justification to UDP Policy TR9. Parking bays will normally be expected to be 2.4 metres wide by 5.5 metres long. A minimum of 4.8 metres in length may, however, be acceptable in some cases. In cases where bays would be parallel to the access way and entered from the side, the length should be increased to at least 6 metres. For echelon (angled) parking bays the minimum acceptable length will be 4.2 metres.”</p> <p>That new paragraphs 3.5 and 3.6 are inserted to read:</p> <p>“The width of the access road needed to access echelon or perpendicular spaces conveniently depends on the width of the bay and the angle of approach. For a 2.4 m wide bay, these values are typically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• at 90 degrees, width (W) = 6.0 m</li> <li>• at 60 degrees, width (W) = 4.2 m</li> <li>• at 45 degrees, width (W) = 3.6 m<sup>4</sup></li> </ul>

---

<sup>4</sup> Reproduced from *Manual for Streets* (DfT, 2007)

No <sup>2</sup> .	Organisation/individual	Consultation Response	Directors Comments
			Individual bays must be indicated or marked out. Echelon bays should be arranged so that drivers are encouraged to reverse into them. This is safer than reversing out, when visibility might be restricted by adjacent parked vehicles.”
002	Health & Safety Executive	HSE have not considered the contents of the SPD in detail. General comments indicate it would be helpful to potential developers if constraints imposed by the possible presence of dangerous substance establishment were indicated in a policy statement in the plan. The Council is strongly advised to consult hazardous pipeline operators and to keep records update. Proposals Maps should also show dangerous substance establishments and hazardous pipelines.	<p>This standard comment is directed towards emerging Local Development Frameworks in general.</p> <p>The proposed SPD is intended to supplement UDP Policies TRT3 &amp;TR9. UDP Policy PO9 sets the existing Development Plan context and sets out the criteria upon which any new development near notifiable hazards would be assessed in consultation with the HSE. Up to date records on the location of hazardous installations &amp; pipe lines are kept and maintained by the Technical Services Department and would be annotated on hard copies of the Local Development Framework Proposals Map when the final Development Plan Documents, to replace the UDP, are published.</p> <p>No change proposed.</p>
003	Highways Agency	No comment	Noted
004	Merseytravel	Supports Option 3. Would like parking standards to be the same as Mersey-wide SPD and assurance that this will be adopted.	The parking standards reflect those in the proposed for the Merseyside SPD, which has not yet been finalised and subjected to formal consultation and may, therefore, be subject to change, whilst taking account of local circumstances on Wirral. While the SPD does not follow the text of the emerging Merseyside SPD word for word, the maximum standards included are essentially the same. Housing standards are linked to bedroom numbers rather than a general average of

<b>No<sup>2</sup>.</b>	<b>Organisation/individual</b>	<b>Consultation Response</b>	<b>Directors Comments</b>
		<p>Difficult to interpret 'clear distinction is made between public and private areas'</p> <p>Reference should be made to travel plans, S106 payments and car clubs etc, which are included in the Merseyside SPD.</p> <p>Standards, which improve access and control levels of parking, are supported.</p>	<p>1.5 spaces per dwelling, whereas provision for people with disabilities is intended to also take account of the Code of Practice on Access and Mobility for Merseyside. No change proposed.</p> <p>This is intended to ensure that areas public and private areas can be easily defined within residential areas (paragraph 3.15 now refers). No change proposed.</p> <p>The proposed SPD can only provide guidance on adopted Development Plan policy. At this stage, the SPD is only intended to supplement UDP Policy TR9. The inclusion of these wider matters would require a review of the Development Plan. This will be considered during the preparation of the emerging Local Development Framework. No change proposed.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
<b>005</b>	Peacock & Smith on behalf of Wm Morrison Supermarkets PLC	<p>Car parking standard for food retail development should be 1 space per 14 sqm to reflect PPG13.</p> <p>The SPD should make reference to benefits of in or edge of centre retail facilities such as linked trips and shared parking.</p>	<p>The proposed standard for town &amp; suburban centres is 1 space per 16 sqm. Elsewhere the standard would be 1 space per 14 sqm. This is consistent for the urban conurbation standard in the Regional Spatial Strategy for the North West (RPG13). No change proposed.</p> <p>It would be more appropriate to consider the potential of site specific benefits through a transport assessment or travel plan when individual proposals come forward. No change proposed.</p>
<b>006</b>	The Theatres Trust	Theatres are sui-generis and are not covered by the proposed SPD.	It would be more appropriate to consider parking requirements for theatres through a transport assessment or travel plan if and when individual proposals come forward. No change

No <sup>2</sup> .	Organisation/individual	Consultation Response	Directors Comments
			proposed.
007	Wirral Wildlife	<p>Support move to reduce reliance on cars, with good access for pedestrians and good cycle provision.</p> <p>Pleased standards would be maximum rather than minimum and there is reference to flood risk with a role for porous surfaces.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
008	Wirral Society	<p>Recognition of contribution of parking standards to sustainable transport policy is welcome.</p> <p>Recommends that SPD covers park &amp; ride facilities at railway stations.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Parking requirements at railway stations are assessed by Mersey Travel through a separate process which involves a technical assessment that takes account of site specific factors when planning applications are made. This is a different exercise from assessing the need for parking at an individual development proposal. No change proposed.</p>
009	NW Confederation of Passenger Transport UK	<p>Future planning proposals should include provision for coach access, stopping places, and parking facilities which take account of health &amp; safety and accessibility for all, in locations that appeal to the group market.</p>	<p>The proposed SPD makes provision for coach parking at hotels, assembly and leisure facilities. Safety and accessibility will be taken into account by the Technical Services Department when future applications are determined. No change proposed</p>
010	NHS North West	No comment	Noted
011	Government Office for the North West	<p>PPS3 should be included in para 1.3.</p> <p>Paras 1.6-1-8 could explain that SA incorporates SEA and that Appropriate Assessment has been carried out.</p>	<p>Section 1 refers to procedural issues only and will not form part of the proposed SPD. PPS3 is listed in paragraph 2.1 as part of the national planning policy context. No change proposed.</p> <p>Section 1 refers to procedural issues only and will not form part of the proposed SPD. Following consultation with the statutory bodies it was determined that an SEA Report and Appropriate</p>



No <sup>2</sup> .	Organisation/individual	Consultation Response	Directors Comments
		<p>Would be helpful to refer to the Statement of Community Involvement in relation to the application process and the benefits of pre-application discussions.</p> <p>Would be helpful to indicate how Merseyside SPD 'Ensuring Choice of Travel' would be incorporated into the LDF.</p> <p>Would be helpful to explain at paras 2.2 &amp; 3.3 how the SPD would be reviewed if RSS Policies change.</p>	<p>Assessment was not required in this case. No change proposed.</p> <p>Agreed. It is recommended that paragraph 5.1 is amended to read:</p> <p>"In accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement, which can be viewed at <a href="http://www.wirral.gov.uk/ldf/FinalAdoptedSCIDec06.pdf">http://www.wirral.gov.uk/ldf/FinalAdoptedSCIDec06.pdf</a>"</p> <p>At the time of writing, the proposed Merseyside SPD has not been finalised or subjected to public consultation and may therefore be subject to further changes. The relationship between this SPD and the proposed Merseyside SPD was set out in the initial consultation letter of 27 September 2006. The wider content of the proposed Merseyside SPD cannot yet be brought forward until higher level Development Plan policies have been amended. The inclusion of these wider matters in a Wirral SPD is a matter for a review of the Local Development Scheme rather than the text of this SPD.</p> <p>Agreed. It is recommended that paragraphs 2.4 and 2.5 are amended to read:</p> <p><b>"Status</b></p> <p>RSS Policy DP3 and RSS Policy T9 are due to be replaced by Policy DP1 and Policy RT6 in the emerging review of the Regional Spatial Strategy, which was subject to public examination when this Supplementary Planning Document was being prepared. Any significant change to the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy or to national policies will take</p>

No <sup>2</sup> .	Organisation/individual	Consultation Response	Directors Comments
		<p>Would be helpful to refer to the need for design and access statements for many applications at para 7.2.</p> <p>Would be helpful if additional text at paras 3.5 -3.6 explained where standards were derived from. Those from SPG41 can only be guidelines due to para 15.32 in the adopted UDP.</p> <p>Would be helpful to explain how the SPD might need to be revised when existing policies are replaced by the LDF.</p>	<p>precedence over this Supplementary Planning Document.”</p> <p>A review of this Supplementary Planning Document, if necessary, will be scheduled through the Local Development Scheme”</p> <p>Paragraph 6.2 refers to the need for design and access statements, with a reference to the relevant Statutory Instrument. No change proposed.</p> <p>The only guidelines taken from SPG41 are for car sales businesses, which still have local relevance and have now been subject to statutory consultation and appraisal processes. It is, however, recommended that new paragraphs 1.3 and 1.4 are inserted to read:</p> <p>“This Supplementary Planning Document replaces the previous Supplementary Planning Guidance Note 41 – Car Parking Provision, which is now withdrawn.”</p> <p>The proposed parking standards in this SPD have been derived from national planning policies; the existing and emerging Regional Spatial Strategy; work undertaken under the auspices of the Merseyside Local Transport Plan (2006-2011) to promote sub-regional consistency in local standards for parking; and previous guidelines for car sales businesses taken from the Council’s former Supplementary Planning Guidance Note 41.”</p> <p>It is recommended that paragraph 7.1 is amended to read:</p> <p>“It is proposed that the impact of the operation of this</p>

No <sup>2</sup> .	Organisation/individual	Consultation Response	Directors Comments
			Supplementary Planning Document will be monitored through the Council's statutory Annual Monitoring Report. The Annual Monitoring Report will also be used to identify any need for this Supplementary Planning Document to be amended or replaced."
012	Network Rail	No comment	Noted.
013	NW Regional Assembly	Consider the proposed SPD to be in general conformity with the adopted Regional Spatial Strategy.	Noted
014	Barnston Conservation Society	Efficient, clean and reliable public transport, plus development capable of sustaining their own parking requirements are two sensible measures.	Noted. No change proposed.
015	Merseyside Cycling Campaign	<p>Would like title to be Vehicle Parking Standards.</p> <p>Would like cycle parking standards from SPG42 to be referred to in the tables.</p> <p>Para 4.12 bullet point 2 should refer to clearly defined cycle stand locations if internal storage is impractical.</p>	<p>The title of an SPD is established in the statutory Local Development Scheme approved by the Secretary of State. For clarification it is recommended that paragraph 1.1 is amended to read:</p> <p>"The purpose of this Supplementary Planning Document is to provide advice on the maximum levels of parking provision for motor vehicles..."</p> <p>Cycle parking standards are established in the Development Plan under UDP Policy TR12 and a separate Supplementary Planning Guidance Note. Repeating the cycle standards in this SPD would be an unnecessary duplication. Paragraph 4.4 makes it clear that cycle facilities will be required in accordance with SPG42. No change proposed.</p> <p>See above. Paragraph 4.4 makes it clear that cycle facilities will be required in accordance with SPG42. No change</p>

<b>No<sup>2</sup>.</b>	<b>Organisation/individual</b>	<b>Consultation Response</b>	<b>Directors Comments</b>
		Para 5.4 should be highlighted or underlined.	proposed.  Paragraph 4.4 will have no additional force by virtue of any additional emphasis through highlighting or underlining. No change proposed.
<b>016</b>	Natural England	No comment on SPD. Agree that a separate Habitats Regulation Assessment is not required.	Noted.
<b>017</b>	Friends of Hoylake & Meols Gardens and Open Spaces	No comment	Noted
<b>018</b>	United Utilities	Agrees with the proposed SPD and supports the use of sustainable drainage measures where flooding is an issue.	Noted

## 5 SCHEDULE OF COMMENTS ON THE SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL REPORT

No <sup>1</sup> .	Organisation/individual	Consultation Response	Directors Comments
001	Natural England	SPD unlikely affect their environmental interests. They concur that Habitats Regulation Assessment is not required.	Noted.
002	Merseyside Cycling Campaign	Would like objectives and indicators to monitor cycle facilities and access to them.	The SA appraisal of the SPD considers the effects of the proposed parking standards for vehicles. No change proposed.
003	Government Office for the North West	<p>It would be helpful to explain that Natural England incorporates the former Countryside Agency &amp; English Nature.</p> <p>Para 4.2 should refer to the latest LDS</p>	<p>Agreed. Insert '(Note: Natural England replaced English Nature and the Countryside Agency on 2 October 2006)' at para 1.7 and 'who replaced English Nature and the Countryside agency on 2 October 2006' at para 1.9.</p> <p>It would be more appropriate to add new para 1.3 'The timetable for the preparation of the Supplementary Planning Document is set out within the Local Development Scheme, approved by the Secretary of State on 24 April 2007. A copy of the Local Development Scheme can be viewed at <a href="http://www.wirral.gov.uk/ldf/ldfids.asp">http://www.wirral.gov.uk/ldf/ldfids.asp</a> The target date for the adoption of the final Supplementary Planning Document is July 2007.'</p>

004	Wirral Society	<p>Welcomes the fact that the SPD and SA recognise contribution of parking standards to sustainable transport policy.</p> <p><b>Social inclusion table</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SPD objectives 1 and 2 could have positive impact on accessibility of jobs and services</li> </ul> <p><b>Economic Growth table</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SPD objective 1 could have positive impact on all the economic growth factors other than worklessness</li> </ul> <p><b>Environmental Protection table</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SPD Objective 2 could have positive impact on Biodiversity and Pollution</li> </ul> <p><b>Quality of Life table</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SPD objectives 1 and 2 could have positive impact on General Attractiveness</li> </ul>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Agree that objective 1 (to support sustainable travel choice) could have a positive impact. No adverse impact is foreseen from objective 2 (to minimise environmental impact and reduce reliance on private car). Propose to change '0 to +' in social inclusion table p33.and add 'SPD objective 1 would be positively compatible with promoting accessibility of jobs and services' under Comments.</p> <p>While accepting the issues can be finally balanced, it is considered that objective 1 would have no significant impact. No change proposed.</p> <p>While accepting the issues can be finally balanced, it is considered that objective 2 would have no significant impact. No change proposed.</p> <p>Agree that objectives 1 and 2 could have a positive impact. Propose to change '0 to +' in quality of life table p37 and add 'SPD objectives 1 and 2 would be positively compatible with promoting general attractiveness' under Comments.</p>
-----	----------------	--	--

## 5 SCHEDULE OF PEOPLE AND ORGANISATIONS CONSULTED

Airwave MMO2	Ince Parish Council	North West Regional Assembly
Asset Planning Department	Knowsley MBC, Forward Planning	NTL
Barnston Conservation Society	Knowsley MBC, Forward Planning	NW & North Wales Sea Fisheries Committee
Bell Ingram Pipelines Ltd	Lands Office - Shell UK Pipelines	O2 UK Limited
British Aerospace	Liverpool City Council	Orange Pcs Ltd
Central Liscard Area Residents Association	Mersey Estuary Development Co-ordinator	Peacock & Smith
Cheshire & Merseyside Strategic Health Authority	Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service	Planning Bureau Limited
Cheshire Association of Local Councils	Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service	Puddington & District Council
Cheshire County Council	Merseyside Policy Unit	Ramblers Association (Wirral Group)
Cloughton Community Group	Merseyside Waste Disposal Authority	Sefton MBC
Cliff Walsingham & Company	Merseytravel	SP Manweb
Countryside Council for Wales	Merseyside Archeological Service	Sport England North West Region
Denbighshire County Council	Mobile Operators Association	St Helen's MBC
Development Planning Partnership	Mr & Mrs A Pasterfield	T Mobile (UK) Ltd
Dwr Cymru Welsh Water	Mr Clegg	The Mersey Partnership
Ellesmere Port and Neston Borough Council	Mr D McKaigue	Theatres Trust
English Heritage (North West)	Mr Gladden	United Utilities (Asset Protection)
English Partnerships	Mr I Coulthard	Vodafone Ltd
Environment Agency	Mr J Noble	Willaston Parish Council
Flintshire County Council	Mr L Burman	WIRED
Garry Usherwood Associates	Mr P Barton MCD BA (hons)	Wirral Community Engagement Team
Government Office for the North West	Mr P Haywood	Wirral Community Safety Team
Halton UA - Environmental Services Directorate	Ms D Toony	Wirral Community Safety Team
Health & Safety Executive	National Grid	Wirral Local Strategic Partnership
Helsby Parish Council	National Trust	Wirral Society
Highways Agency	Natural England, NW Region	Wirral Sustainable Transport Co-ordinator
Home Builders Federation	Network Rail (Mining)	Wirral Voluntary and Community Services Network
Hoylake Civic Society	Network Rail (Planning)	Wirral Wildlife
Hutchinson 3G UK Limited	New Brighton BRAVO	
	Norman Street Residents Association	
	North West Development Agency	

## 6 SCHEDULE OF PEOPLE AND ORGANISATIONS NOTIFIED

Action Wirral Rivers	Braithwaite Associates	Corporate Property Solutions
Adams Holmes Associates	Bridgewater Meeting Room Trust	Council for British Archaeology
Age Concern Wirral	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceutical Research	Country Land & Business Association
Ainsley Gommon Architects	Broadway Malyan Planning	Countryside Properties
Alinbrook Ltd	Brockway Dunn Limited	Crosby Homes NW Ltd
Allerton Trust	Brodies Solicitors	Crown Estate
Ancient Monuments Society	Building Design Partnership	Cuff Roberts Solicitors
Arriva North West Limited	Bullivant Jones & Company	CUH2A Architecture & Planning
Unilever UK Property	C D Hughes	Cunnane Town Planning
Athertons	Caldy CAAC	Cycling Project
Axis Planning Environment & Design	Campaign for Real Ale	D Morgan Plc
Barnston Womens Institute	Carey Jones Architects	D2 Planning
Barratt Chester	Carpenter Bidwells Planning	Daly International
Barton Willmore	Cass Associates	David McLean Homes Ltd
BE Group	CB Richard Ellis	David Wilson Homes
Bebington CVS	CgMs Consulting	De Pol Associates
Beechwood & Ballantyne EMB Ltd	Charlesworth Group Ltd	Dee Estuary Conservation Group
Beechwood Community Association	Chelford Properties	Denis Wilson Partnership
Bell Developments Ltd	Cheshire Gardens Trust	Denis Wilson Partnership
Bellway Homes	Cheshire Jehovah's Witnesses	Denton Clark & Co.
Bett Limited	Cheshire RIGS Group	Depol Associates Ltd
Bidston Preservation Trust	Cheshire Wildlife Trust	Design Planning Development
Bidston Residents Association	Childer Thornton Conservation Association	Development Planning & Design Services
Bidston Village CAAC	Chris Thomas Limited	Dickinson Dees
Biffa Waste Services	Church Commissioners	Diocese of Chester
Birkenhead Market Tenants Association	Clive Watkin Partnership	Dixon Webb
Birkenhead Town Centre Forum	CLM Services	Dr K Singh
Black Macadam	Colin Buchanan & Partners	Dr M Baker-Schommer
Bloomfields Limited	Colliers CRE	Dr M Day
Blue Sky Planning Limited		Dr Macbeath
Bovis Homes Limited		Drivers Jonas



Drivers Jonas  
DTZ Piedad Consulting  
E M Enterprises  
Eastham Village Preservation Association  
EDAW Plc  
Edmund Kirby  
Eleanor Road Residents Association  
Elite Homes (North) Limited  
Emerson Group  
Emery Planning Partnership  
Energy Projects Plus  
Entec UK Ltd  
Environmental Resources Management  
Fairclough Homes Limited (NW Division)  
Fire Safety Command (Wirral District)  
Forestry Commission (NW Conservancy)  
Fort Perch Rock  
Forthview Limited  
Forum Housing Association  
Frankby CAAC  
Friends of Ashton Park  
Friends of Birkenhead Park  
Friends of Eastham Country Park  
Friends of Heswall Shore  
Friends of Hoylake & Meols Gardens  
Friends of Royden Park  
Friends of Storeton Woods  
Friends of the Earth  
Fuller Peiser  
Garden History Society  
General Aviation Awareness Council  
George Wimpey North West Limited  
George Wimpey Strategic Land

Georgian Group  
Gerald Eve  
GL Hearn  
Goodwin Planning Services  
Gough Planning Services  
Groundwork Wirral  
GVA Grimley  
H D Gee Consultants  
Halcyon Properties  
Hallam Land Management Ltd  
Halliwells LLP, Planning Section  
Harlor Homes  
Haston Reynolds Partnership  
Henry Boot Developments Limited  
Hepher Dixon  
Hepher Dixon  
Hepher Dixon  
Heswall & District Business Association  
Heswall Society  
Hickling Gray Associates  
Higham & Co.  
HM Coastguard  
Hooton Park Trust  
HOW Planning LLP  
Hoylake CVS  
Hylgar Properties  
Indigo Planning Limited  
Inglewood Properties  
Irby, Thurstaston & Pensby Amenity  
Society  
J10 Planning  
James Barr Consultants  
JMP Consulting  
Job Centre Plus

Jones Lang LaSalle  
Jones Lang LaSalle  
Kemp & Kemp  
Kersh Commercial  
King Sturge  
Kings Gap CAAC  
Kings Lane Supporters Association  
Knight Frank LLP  
Lairdsie Communities Trust  
Lambert Smith Hampton  
Land Planning Group  
Land Projects UK Associates  
Landmark Information Group Ltd  
Leith Planning Limited  
Leverhulme Estates  
LRM Development Consultants  
M Hussenbux  
MacIntosh Communications Limited  
Malcolm E Lloyd  
Malcolm Judd and Partners  
Manor Egremont Mast Action Group  
Maritime Housing Association  
Mason Owen Property Consultants  
Matthews & Goodman  
McCormick Architecture  
McDyre & Co.  
McInerney Homes  
MCP Planning & Development  
Meols Drive Residents Association  
Mersey Basin Campaign  
Mersey Docks and Harbour Company  
Mersey Estuary Conservation Group  
Mersey Waste Holdings Limited

Merseyside & West Cheshire Ramblers  
Merseyside & West Lancs Bat Group  
Merseyside & West Lancs Bat Group  
Merseyside Civic Society  
Merseyside Cycling Campaign (Wirral  
Branch)  
Merseyside Environmental Trust  
Merseyside Fire Service Headquarters  
Merseyside Police  
Methodist Church Property Division  
Moneycorp Limited  
Mono Consultants Ltd  
Morris Homes (North) Limited  
Mouchel Parkman  
Mr R Neale  
Mr & Mrs D Gleave  
Mr & Mrs Dunne  
Mr & Mrs L & B Bell  
Mr & Mrs PM & UR Weston  
Mr A Kennaugh  
Mr A P McArdle  
Mr A T Hurst  
Mr B Legan Dip TP DMS  
Mr Brown  
Mr C Airey  
Mr C Lord  
Mr C M Brand  
Mr C P Hales  
Mr C R Hutchinson  
Mr C S Thompson  
Mr C T Moore  
Mr C W Dent BA Dip TP RIBA MRTPI  
Mr Casement  
Mr D Birkett

Mr D Clamp  
Mr D Cross  
Mr D Nooman  
Mr D Taylor  
Mr E J Norton  
Mr E Robinson OBE MRAeS  
Mr F Burgana BA MCD MRTPI  
Mr F Howell  
Mr F Hyde  
Mr G Bryan  
Mr G D Evans  
Mr G Noble  
Mr G S Puddy  
Mr Grey  
Mr H Turnbull  
Mr I Wyche  
Mr J A Wright BA (Hons) MRTPI  
Mr J M Corfe  
Mr J O'Neil  
Mr K Collins  
Mr L Parker-Davies  
Mr M Curtis  
Mr M F Lewis  
Mr M G Laurenson  
Mr M Harrision  
Mr M Muller  
Mr Mahoney  
Mr Martin  
Mr Nuttal  
Mr P Jackson  
Mr P Pendleton  
Mr R J Wood  
Mr R L Shelbourne

Mr R Taylor  
Mr Reade  
Mr Rowland  
Mr T Tarr  
Mr Toosey  
Mr W O'Dowd  
Mrs B Murthwaite  
Mrs Clarke  
Mrs E M Hale  
Mrs G Nicholas  
Mrs G Wollers  
Mrs J M Smith  
Mrs K M Ives  
Mrs Lewis  
Mrs M Dockrell  
Mrs R M Fraser  
Mrs S Charlesworth  
Mrs S Shaw  
Mrs T Chadwick  
Mrs V Doodson  
Mrs Weston  
Ms C Radford  
Ms Foster  
Ms J M McIlhatton  
Ms K Robinson  
Ms L Woodhead  
Ms M Johnson  
Ms S Colquhoun  
Ms S J Wall  
Ms S Magee  
Ms S Sweeney  
Ms Seager  
Muir Associates

Murphys Taxis  
N Power Renewables  
Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners  
Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners  
National Farmers Union - NW Region  
National Wind Power Limited  
New Brighton Community Association  
New Brighton Community Partnership  
New Brighton Environmentalists  
New Brighton Football Club  
New Ferry & Rock Ferry Conservation Society  
New Ferry Business Association  
New Ferry Regeneration Action Group  
NJL Consulting  
North Birkenhead Neighbourhood Forum  
North Country Homes Group Limited  
North West Association of Sea Angling Clubs  
North Western Baptist Association  
Northern Trust  
Oxton Society  
P Wilson & Company, Chartered Surveyors  
Paddock Johnson Associates  
Partnership for Racial Equality  
Patrick Farfan Associates Ltd  
Paul & Company  
Paul Butler Associates  
Paul Dickinson Associates  
Peel Holdings Limited  
Persimmon Homes (North West)  
Port Sunlight Village Society  
Port Sunlight Village Trust

Poulton & District Residents Association  
Poulton Protection Group  
Premier Brands UK Limited  
PTS Property  
Pulford Road Residents Association  
R G Drake  
Reddington Developments Limited  
Redrow Homes  
Rev Father Ostaszewski  
Robinson Architects  
Rock Ferry Community Partnership  
Rock Park Estate Management Committee  
Rodney Housing Association  
Roger Tym & Partners  
Roman Catholic Church  
Royal Estates  
Royal Liverpool Golf Club  
Royal National Lifeboat Institute  
RPS Planning Transport & Environment  
RPS Planning Transport & Environment  
RPS Planning Transport & Environment  
RPS Planning Transport & Environment  
RSPB Northern England  
Rural Development Service  
Safety Layne (Investments) Limited  
Salisbury Developments  
Saughall Massie CAAC  
Savills  
Seacombe Local Area Partnership  
Showmens Guild of Great Britain  
Smith & Sons Property Consultants  
Smiths Gore

Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings  
Sommerville Primary School  
St. Mary's Catholic College  
Stanton Estate Residents Association  
Stanton Land & Marine Development Limited  
Steer Davies Gleave  
Steven Abbott Associates  
Stewart Ross Associates  
Storey Sons & Parker  
Strutt & Parker  
Sure Start (Birkenhead Central)  
Survey & Design Associates  
Sustrans  
Taylor Woodrow Developments  
Taylor Young  
Terrence O Rourke  
Tetlow King Planning  
The BMF Foundation  
Thomas Estates Limited  
Thorneycroft Residents Association  
Thornton Hough Community Trust  
Tower Action Group  
Townswomen Wirral 101-25  
Tranmere Alliance  
Tranmere Together  
Tulip Limited  
Turley Associates  
Tweedale  
Twentieth Century Society  
Unichema Chemicals  
Unilever Research Port Sunlight  
Unilever UK Home and Personal Care

Union Street Day Resource Centre  
United Co-operatives Ltd  
Venture Housing Association  
Villa Medical Centre  
Wainhomes (North West) Limited  
Wallasey Civic Society  
Wallasey Village Community Partnership  
Wardell Armstrong  
Wardell Armstrong  
Wellington Road CAAC  
West Kirby Village CAAC  
Westbury Homes

Westwood Road Residents Association  
White Young Green  
Wirral & Cheshire Badger Group  
Wirral 100  
Wirral Barn Owl Trust  
Wirral Chamber of Commerce  
Wirral CVS  
Wirral Federation of Tenants & Residents  
Associations  
Wirral Footpaths and Open Spaces  
Society  
Wirral Green Belt Council  
Wirral Green Party

Wirral Hackey Section  
Wirral Hospitals Trust  
Wirral Investment Network  
Wirral LA21 Forum  
Wirral Methodist Housing Association  
Wirral Metropolitan College  
Wirral Partnership Homes  
Wirral PCT, Public Health Department  
Wirral Transport Users Association  
Woodford Group  
Woodland Trust