

### Section 3 - Evaluation

#### 3.1 Evaluation

The award of this Contract will be on the basis of the most economically advantageous tender taking into consideration the following award criteria:

Price	70%
Quality	30%

All Quality and Pricing information submitted will form the overall evaluation for calculating a bids final score.

#### 3.2 Scoring Mechanism

The scoring mechanism for scored questions in this tender will be as per the "Instructions for Tenderers".

If tenderers score less than a 3 on 2 or more of the method statements, Wirral Council reserves the right to exclude the tenderer.

The scoring mechanism for scored questions in this tender will be as follows:-

Score	Rating	Definition
0	Unacceptable	Nil response or no relevance to the requirement
1	Poor	Response has insufficient relevance and provides no detail or explanation of how the requirement will be met
2	Inadequate	Response has partial relevance and addresses some aspects but with deficiencies with the detail or explanation of how the requirement will be met
3	Acceptable	Response is relevant and acceptable. The response addresses a broad understanding of the requirement but may lack details on how the requirement will be fulfilled in certain areas.
4	Good	Response has significant relevance and good overall. The response is sufficiently detailed to demonstrate a good understanding and provides details on how the requirements will be fulfilled.
5	Excellent	Response is completely relevant and excellent overall. The response is comprehensive, unambiguous and demonstrates a thorough understanding of the requirement and provides details of how the requirement will be met in full. Response adds value and may contain innovative solutions