DRAFT POLICY UPDATE (TO BE INCLUDED IN THE FSS FOR CONSULTATION WITH EMPLOYERS)

APPENDIX B - TERMINATION POLICY, FLEXIBILITY FOR EXIT PAYMENTS AND DEFERRED DEBT AGREEMENTS

EXITING THE FUND

TERMINATION ASSESSMENT OF AN EMPLOYER'S RESIDUAL PENSION OBLIGATIONS AND METHOD TO CALCULATE BOND/ FINANCIAL GUARANTEES

ASSUMPTIONS TO ADOPT FOR THE TERMINATION ASSESSMENT

On the cessation of an employer's participation in the Fund where an employer becomes an exiting employer, the Actuary will be asked to make a termination assessment. Depending on the circumstances of the termination this assessment may incorporate a more cautious basis of assessment of the final liabilities for the employer. Typically, this will be where the employer does not have a guarantor in the Fund who has agreed to subsume the orphaned liabilities from the exiting employer.

Where it may be appropriate to use a more cautious basis, the discount rate assumption used will be derived to be consistent with a lower risk investment strategy linked to low risk income generating assets which make up the lower risk investment "bucket" at the time of assessment. A reasonable adjustment will be made for expenses plus reinvestment and default risk in relation to the assets held.

For the avoidance of doubt this includes any variation to assumptions for those employers whose assets are invested in the higher or medium risk investment strategy bucket. The Administering Authority retains the discretion to adopt a different approach for any particular employer related to the size of the risk and the employer will be notified of this accordingly.

In addition to using a more cautious discount rate, the Actuary will also use a more prudent mortality assumption when assessing the size of the liabilities for termination purposes. In particular, the Actuary will assume a higher improvement rate for future life expectancy than is used for ongoing funding purposes. Where it is appropriate to apply a more cautious assumption, the Actuary will assume that the accelerated trend in longevity seen in recent years will continue in the longer term. The assumption, therefore, will build in a minimum level of longevity 'improvement' year on year in the future in line with the CMI projections subject to a long term improvement trend of 2.25% per annum for males and females.

The appropriate method adopted depends on the characteristics of the exiting body (and in particular whether there is another employer in the Fund who is prepared to act as sponsor for any residual liabilities) and the risk in the context of the potential impact on other employers' contributions. This is because where liabilities are "orphaned" all employers have to cover any deficits (or surpluses) that arise in relation to these liabilities via their contribution rates at each valuation.

In summary, depending on the employer type, participation basis and covenant there are three alternative approaches to value liabilities on termination and to assess bond requirements for certain admitted bodies or designating bodies:-

1. Assessing the final termination liabilities using assumptions consistent with the most recent valuation basis adjusted as necessary to reflect the expected return outlook in relation to the investment strategy which supports the exiting employer’s liabilities.

2. Assessing the final liabilities using a discount rate which is linked to a low risk income generating investment strategy which make up the lower risk investment “bucket”. As part of this assessment the Actuary will:

   - Use a deduction from the discount rate to reflect a reasonable estimate of any investment expenses, the potential asset default and reinvestment risk associated with the asset strategy, the associated costs of termination and any other reasonable prudential margins that are appropriate based on the advice of the Actuary. This will vary dependent on market conditions and the assets held in the lower risk bucket.
   - In addition, since the valuation date, it has been announced that RPI inflation will move to be in line with the CPIH inflation measure with effect from 2030. This therefore needs to be reflected when deriving an updated market estimate of CPI inflation. For example when assessing a termination position from 25 November 2020 we will adjust the market RPI inflation to arrive at the CPI inflation assumption by deducting [tbc]% per annum as opposed to the 1.0% per annum at the valuation date when assessing an employer’s termination position. This adjustment will be kept under review over time.
   - However, this does not provide against future adverse demographic experience relative to the assumptions which could emerge at future valuations. This risk is managed by including a higher level of prudence in the demographic assumptions on termination to further protect the remaining employers. The termination basis for an outgoing employer currently includes an adjustment to the assumption for longevity improvements over time by increasing the rate of improvement in mortality rates to 2.25% p.a. from those used in the 2019 valuation for ongoing funding and contribution purposes. The will be reviewed from time to time to allow for any material changes in life expectancy trends and will be formally reassessed at the next valuation.
   - There may be costs associated with a transition of assets into the lower risk strategy. The Administering Authority reserves the right to pass these costs on to the employer usually via a deduction in the notional asset share. Furthermore, if appropriate, a reasonable allowance for expenses will also be made in relation administration and other expenses. This will be allowed for in the final termination assessment.

3. Assessing the final liabilities using a discount rate which is based on a “minimum risk” approach where the discount rate will be based on government gilt yields of appropriate duration to the liabilities and a more prudent inflation and mortality assumption as above. In addition, consideration of the deduction from RPI to arrive at the CPI assumption will be made based on the actual employer’s liability profile. Typically, this will be applied to an employer who would have a material effect on the Fund on exit by leaving significant

residual orphan liabilities.

APPROACH TO ADOPT FOR EACH EMPLOYER TYPE

The approach to be adopted would be varied dependent on whether there is a guarantor who participates in the Fund who would be prepared to assume responsibility for the liabilities and the type of admission as follows:-

(I) ADMISSION BODIES PARTICIPATING BY VIRTUE OF A CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENT

For employers that are guaranteed by a guarantor (usually the original employer or letting authority), the Fund's default policy at the point of cessation is for the guarantor to subsume the residual assets, liabilities and any surplus or deficit. The interested parties involved (i.e. the Fund, the exiting employer and the guarantor) will need to consider any separate contractual agreements that have been put in place between the exiting employer and the guarantor. In some instances an exit debt may be payable by an employer before the assets and liabilities are subsumed by the guarantor, this will be considered on a case-by-case basis. No payment of an exit credit will be payable unless representation is made as set out below.

If there is any dispute, then the following arrangements will apply:

- In the case of a surplus, in line with the amending Regulations (The Local Government Pension Scheme (Amendment) Regulations 2020) the parties will need to make representations to the Administering Authority if they believe an Exit Credit should be paid outside the policy set out above, or if they dispute the determination of the Administering Authority. The Fund will notify the parties of the information required to make the determination on request.
- If the Fund determines an Exit Credit is payable then they will pay this directly to the exiting employer within 6 months of completion of the final cessation by the Actuary.
- In the case of a deficit, in order to maintain a consistent approach, the Fund will seek to recover this from the exiting employer in the first instance although if this is not possible then the deficit will be recovered from the guarantor either as a further contribution collection or at the next valuation depending on the circumstances.

If requested, the Administering Authority will provide details of the information considered as part of the determination. A determination notice will be provided alongside the termination assessment from the Actuary. The notice will cover the following information and process steps:

1. Details of the employers involved in the process (e.g. the exiting employer and guarantor).
2. Details of the admission agreement, commercial contracts and any amendments to the terms that have been made available to the Administering Authority and considered as part of the decision making process. The underlying principle will be that if an employer is responsible for a deficit, they will be eligible for any surplus. This is subject to the information provided and any risk sharing arrangements in place.
3. The final termination certification of the exit credit by the Actuary.
4. The Administering Authority's determination based on the information provided.
5. Details of the appeals process in the event that a party disagrees with the determination and wishes to make representations to the Administering Authority.

In some instances, the outgoing employer may only be responsible for part of the residual deficit or surplus as per the separate risk sharing agreement. The default is that any surplus would be retained by the Fund in favour of the outsourcing employer/guarantor unless representation is made by the relevant parties in line with the Regulations as noted above. For the avoidance of doubt, where the outgoing employer is not responsible for any costs under a risk sharing agreement then no exit credit will be paid as per the Regulations unless the Fund is aware of the provisions of the risk sharing agreement in any representation made and determines an exit credit should be paid.

As the guarantor will absorb the residual assets and liabilities, it is the view of the Actuary that the ongoing valuation basis described above should be adopted for the termination calculations. For the avoidance of doubt this includes any variation to assumptions for those employers whose assets are invested in the medium or low risk asset bucket. This is the way the initial admission agreement would typically be structured i.e. the admission would be fully funded based on liabilities assessed on the valuation basis.

If the guarantor refuses to take responsibility, then the residual deferred pensioner and pensioner liabilities should be assessed on the more cautious basis. In this situation the size of the termination payment would also depend on what happened to the active members and if they all transferred back to the original Scheme Employer (or elsewhere) and aggregated their previous benefits. As the transfer would normally be effected on a "fully funded" valuation basis the termination payment required would vary depending on the circumstances of the case. Where this occurs, the exiting employer would then be treated as if it had no guarantor as per the policy below and the termination assessment will assume that the liabilities are orphaned and the assets will be invested in the lower risk investment strategy bucket.

The Administering Authority can vary the treatment on a case-by-case basis at its sole discretion if circumstances warrant it based on the advice of the Actuary based on the representations from the interested parties. For the avoidance of doubt in the case of an Exit Credit the determination process will be followed as set out above.

(II) NON-CONTRACT BASED ADMISSION BODIES WITH A GUARANTOR IN THE FUND

The approach for these will be the same as (i) above and will depend on whether the guarantor is prepared to accept responsibility for residual liabilities.

(III) ADMISSION BODIES WITH NO GUARANTOR IN THE FUND

These are cases where the residual liabilities would be “orphaned” within the Fund, although it is possible that a bond would be in place. The termination calculation would be on the more cautious basis as noted in 2. above although the approach in 3. above could apply at the discretion of the Administering Authority.

The actuarial valuation and the revision of any Rates and Adjustments Certificate in respect of the outgoing admission body must be produced by the Actuary at the time when the admission agreement ends; the policy will always be subject to change in the light of changing economic circumstances and legislation.

The policy for such employers will be:

- In the case of a surplus, the Fund pays the exit credit to the exiting employer following completion of the termination process (within 6 months of completion of the cessation by the Actuary). This is subject to the exiting employer providing sufficient notice to the Fund of their intent to exit; any delays in notification will impact on the payment date.

- In the case of a deficit, the Fund would require the exiting employer to pay the termination deficit to the Fund as an immediate lump sum cash payment (unless agreed otherwise by the Administering Authority at their sole discretion) following completion of the termination process.

The Administering Authority also reserves the right to modify this approach on a case by case basis at its sole discretion if circumstances warrant it based on the advice of the Actuary. Where the approach is modified, a separate schedule will be provided to that employer. Setting out the approach to adopt and this will be done using consistent principles.

The above funding principles will also impact on the **bond requirements** for certain admitted bodies. The purpose of the bond is that it should cover any unfunded liabilities arising on termination that cannot be reclaimed from the outgoing body.

ALLOWING FOR THE MCCLOUD JUDGMENT IN TERMINATION VALUATIONS

The Government has confirmed that a remedy is required for the LGPS in relation to the McCloud judgment, however the final remedy is not currently known with any certainty although it is expected to be similar to the allowance made in employer rates at this valuation. Where a surplus or deficit is being subsumed, no allowance will be made for McCloud within the calculations and the impact will be considered at the next contribution rate review. However, if a representation is made to the Administering Authority in relation to an Exit Credit then a reasonable estimate for the potential cost of McCloud will need to be included. Where a surplus or deficit isn't being subsumed, McCloud will be allowed for as a matter of policy.

The allowance will be calculated in line with the treatment set out in this Funding Strategy Statement for all members of the outgoing employer using the termination assessment assumptions. For the avoidance of doubt, there will be no recourse for an employer with regard to McCloud, once the final termination has been settled and payments have been made. Once the remedy is known, any calculations will be performed in line with the prevailing regulations and guidance in force at the time.

POLICY IN RELATION TO THE FLEXIBILITY FOR EXIT DEBT PAYMENTS AND DEFERRED DEBT AGREEMENTS (DDA)

The Fund's policy for termination payment plans is as follows:

1. The default position is for exit payments to be paid immediately in full unless there is a risk sharing arrangement in place with a guaranteeing Scheme employer in the Fund whereby the exiting employer is not responsible for any exit payment. In the case of an exit credit the determination process set out above will be followed.

2. At the discretion of the administering authority, instalment plans over an agreed period or a Deferred Debt Agreement will only be agreed subject to the policy in relation to any flexibility in recovering exit payments.

As set out above, the default position for exit payments is that they are paid in full at the point of exit (adjusted for interest where appropriate). If an employer requests that an exit debt payment is recovered over a fixed period of time or that they wish to enter into a Deferred Debt arrangement with the Fund, they must make a request in writing covering the reasons for such a request. Any deviation from this position will be based on the Administering Authority's assessment of whether the full exit debt is affordable and whether it is in the interests of taxpayers to adopt either of the approaches. In making this assessment the Administering Authority will consider the covenant of the employer and also whether any security is required and available to back the arrangements.

Any costs (including necessary actuarial, legal and covenant advice) associated with assessing this will be borne by the employer and will be charged as an upfront payment to the Fund.

The following policy and processes will be followed in line with the principles set out in the statutory guidance dated [to be confirmed].

POLICY FOR SPREADING EXIT PAYMENTS

The following process will determine whether an employer is eligible to spread their exit payment over a defined period.

1. The Administering Authority will request updated financial information from the employer including management accounts showing expected financial progression of the organisation and any other relevant information to use as part of their covenant review. If this information is not provided then the default policy of immediate payment will be adopted.

2. Once this information has been provided, the Administering Authority (in conjunction with the Fund Actuary, covenant and legal advisors where necessary) will review the covenant of the employer to determine whether it is in the interests of the Fund to allow them to spread the exit debt over a period of time. Depending on the length of the period and also the size of the outstanding debt, the Fund may request security to support the payment plan before entering into an agreement to spread the exit payments.

3. This could include non-uniform payments e.g. a lump sum up front followed by a series of payments over the agreed period. The payments required will include allowance for interest on late payment.

4. The initial process to determine whether an exit debt should be spread may take up to [3] months from receipt of data so it is important that employers who request to spread exit debt payments notify the Fund in good time

5. If it is agreed that the exit payments can be spread then the Administering Authority will engage with the employer regarding the following:
    a. The spreading period that will be adopted (this will be subject to a maximum of [5] years).
    b. The initial and annual payments due and how these will change over the period
    c. The interest rates applicable and the costs associated with the payment plan devised
    d. The level of security required to support the payment plan (if any) and the form of that security e.g. bond, escrow account etc.
    e. The responsibilities of the employer during the exit spreading period including the supply of updated information and events which would trigger a review of the situation

f. The views of the Actuary, covenant, legal and any other specialists necessary
g. The covenant information that will be required on a regular basis to allow the payment plan to continue.
h. Under what circumstances the payment plan may be reviewed or immediate payment requested (e.g. where there has been a significant change in covenant or circumstances)

6. Once the Administering Authority has reached its decision, the arrangement will be documented and any supporting agreements will be included.

EMPLOYERS PARTICIPATING WITH NO CONTRIBUTING MEMBERS

As opposed to paying the exit debt an employer may participate in the Fund with no contributing members and utilise the "Deferred Debt Agreements" (DDA) at the sole discretion of the Administering Authority. This would be at the request of the employer in writing to the Administering Authority.

The following process will determine whether the Fund and employer will enter into such an arrangement:

1. The Administering Authority will request updated financial information from the employer including management accounts showing expected financial progression of the organisation. If this information is not provided then a DDA will not be entered into by the Administering Authority

2. Once this information has been provided, the Administering Authority will firstly consider whether it would be in the best interests of the Fund and employers to enter into such an arrangement with the employer. This decision will be based on a covenant review of the employer to determine whether the exit debt that would be required if the arrangement was not entered into is affordable at that time (based on advice from the Actuary, covenant and legal advisor where necessary).

3. The initial process to determine whether a Deferred Debt Agreement should apply may take up to [3] months from receipt of the required information so an employer who wishes to request that the Administering Authority enters into such an arrangement needs to make the request in advance of the potential exit date.

4. If the Administering Authority's assessment confirms that the potential exit debt is not affordable, the Administering Authority will engage in discussions with the employer about the potential format of a Deferred Debt Agreement using the template Fund agreement which will be based on the principles set out in the Scheme Advisory Board's separate guide. As part of this, the following will be considered and agreed:

    - What security the employer can offer whilst the employer remains in the Fund. In general the Administering Authority won't enter into such an arrangement unless they are confident that the employer can support the arrangement on an ongoing basis. Provision of security may also result in a review of the recovery period and other funding arrangements.
    - The investment strategy that would be applied to the employer e.g. the higher, medium or lower risk strategy which could support the arrangement.
    - Whether an upfront cash payment should be made to the Fund initially to reduce the potential debt.
    - What the updated secondary rate of contributions would be required up to the next valuation.

- The financial information that will be required on a regular basis to allow the employer to remain in the Fund and any other monitoring that will be required.
- The advice of the Actuary, covenant, legal and any other specialists necessary.
- The responsibilities that would apply to the employer while they remain in the Fund.
- What conditions would trigger the implementation of a revised deficit recovery plan and subsequent revision to the secondary contributions (e.g. provision of security).
- The circumstances that would trigger a variation in the length of the deferred debt agreement (if appropriate), including a cessation of the arrangement (e.g. where the ability to pay contributions has weakened materially or is likely to weaken in the next 12 months). Where an agreement ceases an exit payment (or credit) could become payable. Potential triggers may be the removal of any security or a significant change in covenant assessed as part of the regular monitoring.
- Under what circumstances the employer may be able to vary the arrangement e.g. a further cash payment or change in security underpinning the agreement.

The Administering Authority will then make a final decision on whether it is in the best interests of the Fund to enter into a Deferred Debt Agreement with the employer and confirm the terms that are required.

5. For employers that are successful in entering into a Deferred Debt Arrangement, contribution requirements will continue to be reviewed as part of each actuarial valuation or in line with the Deferred Debt Agreement in the interim if any of the agreed triggers are met.

6. The costs associated with the advice sought and drafting of the Deferred Debt Agreement will be passed onto the employer and will be charged as an upfront payment to the Fund.