



PENSIONS COMMITTEE

23 FEBRUARY 2022

REPORT TITLE:	MERSEYSIDE PENSION FUND BUDGET FINANCIAL YEAR 2022/23
REPORT OF:	DIRECTOR OF PENSIONS

REPORT SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to request that Members approve the budget for Merseyside Pension Fund (MPF) for the financial year 2022/23.

RECOMMENDATION/S

The Pensions Committee is recommended to approve the budget for 2022/23. (Subject to review of charges from the administering authority for support services).

That a further report on the outturn for 2021/22 with finalised estimates, in particular for departmental & central support charges and any known changes in supplies and services for 2022/23, be presented to Members of Pensions Committee at a future meeting.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

1.0 REASON/S FOR RECOMMENDATION/S

- 1.1 The approval of the budget for Merseyside Pension Fund by Pensions Committee forms part of the governance arrangements of Merseyside Pension Fund.

2.0 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

- 2.1 The majority of the Pension Fund budget is taken up by investment management costs and staffing. The investment management arrangements are subject to ongoing review with additional scrutiny from the NLGPS Joint Committee. For all other expenditure there has been a careful review process with senior management culminating in a planning meeting at which the Director of Pensions approved the proposals for discretionary expenditure in this report.

3.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 3.1 The headline figures are that, during the financial year 2022/23, we are estimating that MPF will pay £382m in pension benefits and receive £164m in contributions from employers and employees. The Fund has a value of £10.9bn at 31 December 2021. The proposed administration costs of £24.8m including £16.5m of investment management charges to external managers represent a cost of £177.55 per member of the scheme or 0.23% of assets under management. Taken separately the external investment management costs are approximately £117.60 per member or 0.15% of assets under management.
- 3.2 The budget for 2022/23 is lower at £24.8m than £25.5 in 2021/22 primarily due to lower investment management fees being forecast.
- 3.3 The method used to compile estimates of expenditure for 2022/23 is as follows:

Staffing	Current structure to be fully staffed throughout year at the top of the grade.
Investment management Fees	Estimate based on normal market conditions.
Premises	Agreed as a notional charge based on market rates (MPF owns building).
Transport, Conferences and Subsistence	Estimated requirements for current year, assuming lockdown restrictions ease, however, virtual meetings and conferences continue.
Services and Supplies	Contracts where usage and cost is fixed, plus estimate for variable elements.
Inflation adjustments	CPI 3.1% as at September 2021.
Investment Performance	1.9% + 2.3% bonds; 6.5% equities; 50% of performance targets met for active management.

- 3.4 This report includes a predicted out-turn for 2021/22. Due to the volatility in financial markets and delays in billing from certain third-party suppliers it is not possible to predict the outturn with complete accuracy. Therefore, some estimates have been used, and it is proposed to report on the actual outturn at a future meeting of Pensions Committee. At present, the outturn is lower than predicted largely due to lower investment management fees, budgeted projects and areas of work being deferred to 2022/23 and assumptions used for staffing.
- 3.5 The Fund's major expenditure is on investment management fees. For 2022/23 it is assumed that the assets under management remain as 2020/21. Investment management fees are mostly charged on an ad-valorem basis with, on some occasions, a performance fee. This means that when the Fund's investments rise in value and/or outperform benchmarks, the fees can rise substantially. Accordingly, when this expenditure rises there is a benefit to the Fund in terms of capital appreciation that far exceeds the increase in fees paid. For 2021/22, the outturn is expected to be lower than the estimate made last year.
- 3.6 The second highest expenditure is on staffing; employee costs overall increase in 2022/23 to reflect a budgeted pay increase of 3%. The outturn for 2021/22 will be underspent due to assumptions used. With the continued growth in the Fund's assets and membership, an increasing regulatory burden, the implementation of various risk management strategies and the progress of pooling, a further review of staffing requirements is being undertaken and any material changes will be reported to a future meeting of this Committee.
- 3.7 The predicted 2021/22 outturn for supplies is lower than estimated largely due to an underspend on costs associated with investment selection services and pooling; these budgets have been reviewed, updated and carried forward to 2022/23.
- 3.8 For departmental & central support charges, at present, the estimates have been left the same as last year; the figure reported to Committee last year was £359,641. Officers at the Fund will continue to negotiate service level agreements with Wirral support service functions.

4.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 This report includes probable outturn for 2021/22 and the budget proposed for 2022/23 and therefore the financial implications are included within this report.
- 4.2 The costs of the Pension Fund are charged directly to the Pension Fund and are then ultimately covered by investment income and employee and employer contributions, the full costs are estimated to be £177.55 per member (including active contributors, deferred and pensioners). The costs per member at Merseyside Pension Fund are competitive with other pension funds of similar size in both the public and private sector particularly when analysed net of investment performance.
- 4.3 The Fund is undertaking a number of initiatives to increase efficiencies and deliver savings, particularly from pooling and increasing the proportion of internally managed assets, over the medium term.

5.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are none arising from this report.

6.0 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS: STAFFING, ICT AND ASSETS

6.1 There are no additional resource implications arising from this report.

7.0 RELEVANT RISKS

7.1 The Chair of the CIPFA Pensions Panel has previously written to all administering authorities reminding them of their responsibilities regarding the resourcing requirement of LGPS funds. The Fund regularly reviews its requirements and updates its Risk Register to reflect identified key risks and mitigating controls for these risks. A key feature of the controls is having appropriate resources available to administer the fund adequately and to manage investments. This budget provides adequate resources for these two core functions.

8.0 ENGAGEMENT/CONSULTATION

8.1 There has been no consultation undertaken or proposed for this report. There are no implications for partner organisations arising from this report.

9.0 EQUALITY IMPLICATIONS

9.1 The content and/or recommendation contained within this report have no direct implications for equality.

10.0 ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE IMPLICATIONS

10.1 There are no environmental or climate implications arising from this report.

11.0 COMMUNITY WEALTH IMPLICATIONS

11.1 The content and/or recommendation contained within this report have no direct implications for community wealth.

REPORT AUTHOR: **Name Donna Smith**
Head of Finance & Risk
telephone: (0151) 2421312
email: donnasmith@wirral.gov.uk

APPENDICES

The budget for 2022/23 including the probable out-turn for 2021/22 is attached as appendix 1 to this report.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

CIPFA – Service Reporting Code of Practice for Local Authorities

SUBJECT HISTORY (last 3 years)

Council Meeting	Date
Pensions Committee	22 June 2021 29 March 2021 3 February 2020 16 July 2019